

Ohio Partners for Cancer Control (OPCC) November 2020 Meeting Evaluation Results

21 Total Surveys Completed (26 surveys started)


25% survey response rate (21 out of 84 registered meeting participants)

This response rate is lower than the July meeting response rate of 35%.

Moving Toward Implementing the Cancer Plan


All respondents are interested in participating in implementation

How interested are you in implementing any part of the 2021 – 2030 Cancer Plan?



Most respondents are likely to invite others to engage in implementation

How likely are you to invite others to engage in implementation of the Ohio Cancer Plan after today's meeting?



If you selected "unlikely" or "extremely unlikely," please explain:

- One respondent is not as involved with outside stakeholders since retirement.
- One respondent said they thought key stakeholders are already engaged.
- One respondent said, "not sure."

Respondents indicate **good access to needed information and resources**

Do you feel you have the information needed to...

	Yes
...find cancer data (e.g., OCISS/Cancer Registry)?	90% (n=19)
...ask for help if you have general questions about the Cancer Plan implementation?	90% (n=19)
...access documents and resources related to the revision process (e.g., Cancer Plan Library)?	90% (n=19)
...stay informed about OPCC (e.g., emails)?	95% (n=20)
...become an OPCC member (if you are not already one)?	100% (n=21)

Note: All of the seven "no" responses came from three respondents. One person is responsible for four "no" responses.

While six respondents indicated nothing about the Cancer Plan revision process remains unclear, some have **questions** and areas where they need clarity

Next steps: the who and how (n=6)

It was not clear to me what the next steps are. How will we move forward with implementation?

What do work groups need to do moving forward? Will these be turning into the new committees?

An indication from each topical workgroup if they are interested in continuing to meet to work on implementation [would be helpful].

Who will do the implementation of the strategies? Who to connect with for implementation?

Who is doing what role? What is the chain of responsibility? Who is leading the work groups now?

What are we supposed to do between now and the next OPCC meeting?

The only uncertainty I have is with having to meet via zoom: are we going to get going on the implementation?

Other questions (n=3)

Will the plan be printed? When will we get a copy?

How will it be rolled out to stakeholders across the state?

How will progress be monitored?

Respondents need resources and connections to move toward implementation

Resources needed (n=4)

More data overall | Data from ODH

Having the resources available and knowing where to find them

Hard copies of the plan to present to key members in health care and the community

Connections and perspectives needed (n=7)

Coordination with other groups, organizations, and individuals

Patient involvement is needed more in OPCC | Get more providers the education and resources to have patients involved

I think something that will be helpful is to get the legislature involved

Partnership with Ohio Cancer Genetics Network

Good reminder that we have a voice and we can make a difference. Advocating in cancer conferences is a good place to start.

ODH contact to promote Hepatitis B and C and HCC, as well as the lung cancer plan

Continued contact with OPCC members as resources

Other action steps needed (n=1)

Find a way to share that the Columbus Cancer Clinic does not turn anyone away based on their ability to pay for breast, cervical, colorectal, prostate, and skin cancer screenings. We can overcome the financial barrier to care and will hire interpretation services to break down the language barrier, but the biggest hurdle remains that people are largely unaware that we are here to serve them.

Reflections on Cancer Plan and People Involved

Respondents expressed **excitement about the Cancer Plan** (n=5)

It does look like this is the best Cancer Plan yet to date.

So exciting to hear the broad spectrum of initiatives.

I remain hopeful that these well-written plans will be implemented and many lives will be saved because of the dedicated members [staying] focused and engaged.

The variety of topics in the Cancer Plan is vast!

There was great momentum. The plans are solid and the people behind the plans are skilled professionals. I really believe we are going to make an impact in our state.

I feel good about the next Cancer Plan!

Many also commented on the **enthusiasm and diversity of the group** (n=10)

OPCC has a strong, committed group of partners ready to implement the plan.

There are lots of people engaged in the process of revising the Cancer Plan!

The energy that is coming from the members is amazing.

The camaraderie and real desire to make a difference [stood out to me]. Special group.

I loved seeing how diverse OPCC membership has become!

The connections and relationships we have built [stood out to me]. I have enjoyed my experience.

The OPCC leaders are great and very helpful. I was reminded how many people are truly focused on the plan and making it happen. It does take a village.

What a great group of motivated, educated, passionate people!

One thing I definitely noticed was the number of incredible women involved.

Meeting Satisfaction

Satisfaction with the meeting was largely high, though slightly lower than this year's previous meetings

How satisfied are you with the following aspects of today's meeting?

	Satisfied or Very satisfied
Online format	90% (n=19)
Meeting pace	90% (n=19)
Meeting length	81% (n=17)
Opportunities to engage	81% (n=17)
Speaker presentation	95% (n=20)
Accessibility	90% (n=19)

More than half of respondents (n=13) were satisfied or very satisfied with every element of the meeting, while four respondents were only dissatisfied with one element.

Respondents offered positive feedback and encouragement

Overall feedback (n=9)

Love the online format.

Great job, and thanks for all of your planning to make this a good event!

Nothing beats giving a hug to friends that you only see a few times a year, but this is second best. Really nicely organized.

This was really well done!

The engagement was great, and I think that helps launch us to greater implementation.

This is one of the better Zoom meetings I've attended.

Melissa as the moderator does a great job with her team. The OPCC leaders are great as well and very helpful.

As always, a great time spent together strategizing how to defeat cancer! Truly appreciate the virtual format even with its difficulties. Keep up the momentum! Special thanks to the leadership team. Honored to participate.

Breakout rooms (n=4)

*Had a great breakout session today... **The others in the meeting were very enthusiastic and helpful.** Especially beneficial was their willingness to provide professional contacts that I did not already have, which will be valuable not only for this workgroup but for my organization in general.*

*The few issues with breakout sessions were easily remedied. **All of my breakout sessions were very valuable, so I would prefer to make those longer by shortening some of the presentations.***

Really enjoyed the collaboration and the smaller breakout rooms.

I enjoyed the breakouts and getting to know people I did not know before.

We probably lost 5-10 minutes for each breakout because of [technology issues]... We still had good conversation that was productive in my breakouts.

Meeting content (n=2)

I enjoyed walking through the data pieces.

The presentation by Emily Bunt [stood out to me].

Logistics (n=1)

Staying with the schedule is much appreciated!

Respondents expressed some challenges and suggestions for the future

Breakout rooms (n=5)

I don't know that we accomplished much of anything in the breakout groups.

I appreciate the efforts to engage but there wasn't enough time to really do anything in those forums because of all the other presentations.

The technology for breakouts did not go well. I was unable to participate in my breakouts because I couldn't hear.

We could have used a little more time in our breakouts, but I absolutely understand the time crunch we were under.

The breakouts were too short to get anything accomplished.

There were many problems with getting to the correct room that precluded getting to anything in great detail on how to implement, though some general concepts were discussed.

Meeting length (n=2)

Meeting was too long for what was accomplished.

3 ½ hours for a virtual meeting was too long. It was hard at times to stay engaged.

Meeting content (n=1)

I think our time have been better spent on the nuts and bolts of implementation and expectations of each of us. I am not clear what those steps are.

Key takeaways for future meetings

- Respondents were appreciative of the online format, the thoughtful structure and content, and the time together.
- Many valued their time in breakout sessions and asked for more time in similar settings in the future.
- A few thought the meeting was too long.
- One person would have preferred to spend more time on the “nuts and bolts” of implementation.