Ohio Partners for Cancer Control (OPCC)
February 2021 meeting evaluation results

20 Total Surveys Completed

26% survey response rate of 77 registered meeting participants

This response rate is slightly higher than the rate for the November 2020 OPCC meeting (25%).

Moving toward implementing the Cancer Plan

All respondents plan to participate in implementation (n=20)

Most of the respondents (n=16) indicated they have already connected with the leads of the topical workgroups they would like to participate in. The remaining 4 respondents left their contact information to be connected with workgroup leads.

Several respondents had positive comments about the new structure of implementation (n=4)

The topical workgroups that are housed under QOL are the perfect groups. Well done!

The new structure of OPCC [stood out to me]. I support it and think it will help the implementation of the State Cancer plan.

It was nice... hearing how the group will be run differently this year.

I liked the review of the plan and would have liked a chance to discuss the new format a bit -- and to hear from other members of the executive committee about it and how it is expected to work. It is the crux of the next 10 years. I really like the move away from just a few topic areas.

A few respondents have questions about implementation expectations & operations (n=5)

What about the 2021-2030 Cancer Plan implementation process, if anything, remains unclear?

The benchmarks for me as a workgroup leader and what's expected from us by what point this year would be helpful to receive again.

The balance between doing and pushing out for others to do in the community is still a little fuzzy for me, but it's because I'm new.

What will our future meetings look like? Are subgroups supposed to meet in the interim? Is there a specific charge?

I think more clarity of goal areas and topic areas responsibilities.

Do we need to report data on the strategy deliverables? For example, if one objective's strategies include hosting TA sessions, do we need to report attendance, satisfaction scores to our topic group leaders?

One of our objectives relates to the design of a new data collection tool related to telehealth because we don't really have metrics for this. I guess I would need to know the technical logistics for that like who would/will "own" or house the data (me? ODH?). In our strategies we discuss who in the community will potentially work to create it, inform it, and disseminate with our workgroup, but who will manage this data set? Be able to access this data set? Figuring out those kinds of details will be necessary earlyish on because there are related parts that comes after, which is collecting the data, and analyzing, etc. that are part of the plan. And I want to be sure if I'm not managing it (or well, even if I am) what quality and assurance protocols I should be following (i.e. I know IRB and research ethics stuff from the academic side, but not as much what specific protocols the state follows/requires outside a university). I think that will be the biggest piece of our objectives that I'll need some clarity on so I know where and how we should start. Does the state have a review board that we will need to work with and submit a proposal? I'm a researcher so these are things I am equipped to do and will do whatever amount is needed (I love data!) but I just want to make sure I'm on the same page and that I'm doing the pieces (whatever they are) to ensure we have this new and valuable data set as planned in our objectives!

Nothing (n=6)

While a few respondents indicated they have already started implementation, most respondents said they need to take initial steps to get work moving

What does your topical workgroup need to start implementing the Cancer Plan?

Have already started (n=2)

We are already working on the plan.

We have already begun several of our strategies.

Need to meet, plan, and get work moving (n=7)

We need to meet and decide on the priorities.

A kick off meeting!!!

The leaders need to meet and get a cohesive plan together.

Meeting to roll up our sleeves and get going!

If people come ready to work and with ideas as to how we reach our goals, we will be successful in implementing the Cancer Plan!

Need more people (n=2)

More members

Additional individuals and members to help with the plan

*Clear expectations with a timeline.*

Communicate with each other :)

Funding needs (n=1)

The HPV Vaccination workgroup needs innovative funding sources to implement our Comic Book intervention for high-schoolers.

Meeting experience

Satisfaction with the meeting was high (n=20)

Every respondent was either satisfied or very satisfied with every element of the meeting.

Very satisfied   **Satisfied**

	Very satisfied	Satisfied
Online format	75%	25%
Meeting pace	75%	25%
Meeting length	65%	35%
Opportunities to engage	85%	15%
Speaker presentations	75%	25%
Accessibility	75%	25%

Respondents offered positive feedback and encouragement

Overall feedback (n=5)

I just really appreciate the integration with the [PDA] folks and the different ways to engage--these meetings are always so much more organized and seamless than the majority of virtual meetings I attend/have attended.

Great job organizing the meeting and keeping it moving!

The meeting was very engaging.

Great work - appreciate you all and the good work we'll do together

Great job, and thanks for all of the work the leaders have done to make this a smooth online meeting.

Engagement opportunities (n=3)

It was very helpful to talk together with all of the other topic areas in my area.

It was good to see the opportunities for collaboration across topic areas.

I enjoyed the use of Menti and breakouts.

Speaker presentations (n=1)

I learned a lot from the legislative update and found it useful to hear about the 2021 ACS CAN priorities.

Like in past meetings, many respondents had wonderful things to say about each other and enjoyed their time together (n=13)

What is one thing from today's meeting that really stood out to you?

Everyone was very participatory. In our group chats [there] were a lot of great discussions.

So much passion and commitment to move this plan forward

The energy that happened even though we were virtual.

I gained some new connections to partner with.

It seems we have gained more members, or at least more meeting attendees.

The number of people who are engaged and ready to work on the goals of OPCC stood out to me.

I am excited to see the enthusiasm around pediatric cancer. I am not involved in that workgroup, but I see great things happening with that group.

The number of OPCC members on the zoom meeting! Great to see past members and NEW members too!

It was nice getting to know everyone.

The co-chairs are fantastic - felt very supported

Everyone is enthusiastic to get started, and thinking about innovative ways to connect with patients (e.g., self-testing for HPV, patient navigation)

I'm so grateful to everyone doing this work and to be a part of a wonderful organization

The reasons why each member decided to join this group

Respondents offered some feedback and suggestions for future meetings

Adjusted focus & more discussion time (n=2)

A little more time on moving ahead and a little less on what's already been accomplished -- we've done that many times already.

Not dissatisfied, but work group could have been longer, we had so much to talk about.

Accessibility & logistics (n=2)

My only comment would be: do the recordings of the meetings have captions or transcripts? If not, that would be something that I would suggest. And then doing so would increase my satisfaction re. accessibility.

Please update the initial calendar entries with new start time. I hadn't read e-mails, so got organized at 9:30 and wound up joining late and clueless

Maybe clarification--re. expectations with video because at the beginning of the meeting there was a request from [PDA] folks to keep them off except during certain parts of the meeting so we could highlight the speakers, but then at the end (while I had mine off for that reason) I started to second guess myself as to what was most respectful/polite/expected when there was additional talk about being present and trust and videos on and so then I wasn't sure what I was supposed to have done and/or if that request was just specific to during breakouts or what.

Key takeaways for future meetings

- Each of the twenty respondents plans to participate in implementation.

- A handful of respondents mentioned appreciating the new structure of implementation, though there are some questions about expectations and operations moving forward.

- For most respondents, the only thing needed to start implementation is to get things moving by bringing their groups together and planning out their next steps. Three respondents had more concrete resource needs: more members and funding.

- Satisfaction with the meeting was very high! People particularly appreciated the opportunities to engage with each other, which is reflected in both the meeting satisfaction ratings and the comments.

- Respondents offered a few suggestions for future meetings. One person would have liked more focus on future rather than past work, and two people wanted more discussion time. Additionally, respondents asked that captions be included on the meeting recording, that the calendar invite be updated to reflect the accurate meeting start time, and that expectations around having videos on or off be clarified.